## ICT Project Stage 1 - Procurement

# **HIGHLIGHT REPORT**

Project Title:	ICT Project	Client Contact:	Worcestershire Regulatory Service	PO Number	5511136
Project Manager:	Martin Thomas	Date:	12/02/2012	Document No:	001

Distribution List:					
Steve Jorden					

Com	npleted tasks for reporting period from	1 <sup>st</sup> January 2012	to	31 <sup>st</sup> January 2012				
1.	Established Project Board & Arranged Meetings							
2.	Developed Project Initiation Document (P	ID)						
3.	Developed Project Plan							
4.	Created and Updated Risk Register and I	ssues Log						
5.	Developed Product Descriptions for Proje	ct Manageme	nt and	Procurement Documents				
6.	Undertook Stakeholder Analysis and Deve	eloped Comm	unica	tion Plan				
7.	Published PID, Plan, Product Descriptions	s Risk Registe	r inte	mally				
8.	Attended meeting with A.Haslam to agree	procurement	proce	ess				
9.	Developed an OJEU Notice							
10.	Developed a PQQ Document							
11.	Developed PQQ Evaluation Criteria							
12.	Developed Tender Documentation – ITT F	Pack						
13.	Developed ITT Evaluation Criteria							
14.	. Reviewed and updated draft specification							
15.	. Published OJEU, PQQ, PQQ Evaluation Criteria and ITT Pack (Internally)							
16.	Attended meeting with S.Jorden and A.Ha	aslam to review	w pro	curement documents				
17.	. Attended meeting with S.Jorden and S.Wilkes to agree discuss evaluation criteria							
18.	Attended Management Board Meeting							
19.	Produced Highlight Report							
20.	Attended Project Board Meeting							
21.	Amended Project Management documents following comments from Project Board and Management Board							
22.	Attended a couple of Supplier meetings to review as potential solutions (Support Desk & NDL)							

## ICT Project Stage 1 - Procurement

# **HIGHLIGHT REPORT**

Non-completed tasks for reporting period from	1 <sup>st</sup> January 2012	to	31 <sup>st</sup> January 2012
1. None			

Tasks to be completed for reporting period from		to	29 <sup>th</sup> February 2012	
Advertise OJEU Notice				
2. Receive EOI's				
3. Send out PQQs				
Receive and respond to clarifications				
	M Advertise OJEU Notice Receive EOI's Send out PQQs	M February 2012 Advertise OJEU Notice Receive EOI's Send out PQQs	Advertise OJEU Notice Receive EOI's Send out PQQs	

Project Status:	e.g. ongoing	On time?	yes	On budget?	yes
-----------------	--------------	----------	-----	------------	-----

Key Milestones	Forecasted Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Status	Comments
Project Plan developed and approved by Management Board.	11 <sup>th</sup> January 2012		Complete	
Presented to Joint Committee	23 <sup>rd</sup> February 2012		On Time	
Competitive tender documents completed and advertised.	30 <sup>th</sup> January 2011		Complete	
PID and expected benefits report completed and sent to REIP.	31 March 2012		On Time	
Tendering process completed and successful supplier appointed.	July 2012		On Time	
ICT project implemented on time and within budget.	March 2013		On Time	
WRS staff trained and competent in using new system.	March 2013		On Time	

### ICT Project Stage 1 - Procurement

## **HIGHLIGHT REPORT**

Key Milestones	Forecasted Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Status	Comments
<ul> <li>Business benefits delivered:</li> <li>Current applications replaced</li> <li>Relevant data successfully transferred and accessible</li> <li>Robust and reliable interoperability with partner systems working</li> <li>Cost savings realised</li> </ul>	March 2013		On Time	
Management Board and Joint Committee regularly updated on progress and notified in advance of risks to project timescales.	Monthly		On Time	

### **Risks**, Issues

### Risk

- 1. Procurement timescale is to tight and the project is unable to meet deadlines
- 2. Key resources are not available
- 3. Suitable solution not found after procurement exercise
- 4. Commission might challenge the reason for using ARP route CLOSED
- 5. Suppliers challenging the use of ARP route- CLOSED
- 6. If ARP not deemed to be the advised route then the restricted procedure is likely to be used and the will involve a 37 day and 40 day time lapse on the project between PQQ and ITT instead of 15 and 15 and may put the RIEP funding at risk- **CLOSED**
- 7. Data cannot be converted from existing applications
- 8. No person currently responsible for understanding data in existing systems
- 9. Worcestershire Regulatory Service (WRS) funding may be pulled by Partners
- 10. Regulatory changes may force changes to specification

### Issues

1. None

### Decisions required from Project Board this period:

1. None – For Mgt Board